

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1 and 11 remain in this application. Claim 1 has been amended.

Claims 1 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0077922 to Srinivasan ("Srinivasan patent").

Claim 1 as amended provides, *inter alia*, formulating a response concerning the order and the reply in which the response is ***adapted to capabilities of a display*** of the wireless communication device. Support for the above change is provided at page 11, lines 3 and 4, of the specification.

In contrast, the Srinivasan patent, particularly at paragraphs [0061], [0063]-[0067], and [0085]-[0086], and FIGs. 7E, 7F, and 8B-8F, discloses a system for providing ***customization of a user's order***. The Srinivasan patent does not describe or suggest formulating a response that is adapted to the capabilities of a display of a wireless communication device. The Examiner notes that responses of the Srinivasan patent are based on the device's capability to display the necessary information to a user. However, the Srinivasan patent does not describe or suggest adapting a response to display capabilities of a wireless communication device, as required by claim 1. Adaptation to display capabilities is important since different wireless communication devices may have different display capabilities, and the Srinivasan patent does not even recognize the importance of this function, let alone performing the function as required by claim 1. Therefore, claim 1 distinguishes patentably from the Srinivasan patent.

Claim 11 depends from and includes all limitations of independent claim 1 as amended. Therefore, claim 11 distinguishes patentably from the Srinivasan patent for the reasons stated above for claim 1.

In view of the above, reconsideration and withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. §102(e) rejection of claims 1 and 11 are respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

No amendment made was related to the statutory requirements of patentability unless expressly stated herein. Also, no amendment made was for the purpose of narrowing the scope of any claim, unless Applicants have argued herein that such amendment was made to distinguish over a particular reference or combination of references.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to deduct any additional fees arising as a result of this response, including any fees for Extensions of Time, or any other communication from or to credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 50-2117.

It is submitted that the claims clearly define the invention, are supported by the specification and drawings, and are in a condition for allowance. Applicants respectfully request that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. Should the Examiner have any

questions or concerns that may expedite prosecution of the present application, the Examiner is encouraged to telephone the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,
Paulo, Daniel Leonard, et al.

Please forward all correspondence to:
Motorola, Inc.
Law Department (HDW)
600 North US Highway 45
Libertyville, IL 60048

<u>/HISASHI D. WATANABE/</u>	<u>08/21/06</u>
Hisashi D. Watanabe	Date
Attorney for Applicant(s)	
Registration No. 37,465	
Telephone: (847) 523-2322	
Facsimile: (847) 523-2350	